IN RE:)	
)	Case No. 09-06240
NUKOTE INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,)	Chapter 11
)	Judge Lundin
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered

THE DEADLINE FOR FILING A TIMELY RESPONSE IS: March 18, 2010
IF A RESPONSE IS TIMELY FILED, THE HEARING WILL BE: April 6, 2010, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom Two, 2nd Floor, Customs House, 701 Broadway, Nashville, Tennessee 37203.

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS OF FORMER EMPLOYEES (CLAIMS BASED SOLELY ON SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS)

Nukote International, Inc., et al. ("Debtors") have asked the court for entry of an order approving its Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims of Former Employees (Claims Based Solely on Severance Agreements).

YOUR RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED. If you do not want the court to grant the attached Objection by entering the attached order, or if you want the court to consider your views on the Objection, then on or before March 18, 2010, you or your attorney must:

1. File with the court your response or objection explaining your position. PLEASE NOTE: THE BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE REQUIRES ELECTRONIC FILING. ANY RESPONSE OR OBJECTION YOU WISH TO FILE MUST BE SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY. TO FILE ELECTRONICALLY, YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY MUST GO TO THE COURT WEBSITE AND FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS AT: https://ecf.tnmb.uscourts.gov.

If you need assistance with Electronic Filing you may call the Bankruptcy Court at 615-736-5584. You may also visit the Bankruptcy Court in person at: 701 Broadway, 1st Floor, Nashville, TN. (Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.).

- 2. Your response must state that the deadline for filing responses is March 18, 2010, the date of the scheduled hearing is April 6, 2010 and the action to which you are responding is Debtors' Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims of Former Employees (Claims Based Solely on Severance Agreements).
- 3. You must serve your response or objection by electronic service through the Electronic Filing system described above. You must also mail a copy of your response or objection to any party in interest required to receive notice under the applicable rules of Court that does not receive electronic notice.

If a response is filed before the deadline stated above, the hearing will be held at the time and place indicated above. **THERE WILL BE NO FURTHER NOTICE OF THE HEARING DATE**. You may check whether a timely response has been filed by calling the Clerk's office at 615-736-5584 or viewing the case on the Court's website at https://ecf.tnmb.uscourts.gov.

If you or your attorney does not take these steps, the court may decide that you do not oppose the relief sought in the objection and may enter the attached order granting that relief.

Date: February 16, 2010 Signature: /s/ Craig V. Gabbert, Jr.

Craig V. Gabbert, Jr. Harwell Howard Hyne Gabbert & Manner, P.C.

315 Deaderick Street, Suite 1800 Nashville, Tennessee 37238 Telephone: 615-256-0500

Facsimile: 615-251-1058 Email: cvg@h3gm.com

IN RE:)	
)	
NUKOTE INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,)	Case No. 09 06240
)	Chapter 11
)	Judge Keith M. Lundir
)	
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered

DEBTORS' FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS OF FORMER EMPLOYEES (CLAIMS BASED SOLELY ON SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS)

Nukote International, Inc., et al, the debtors in the above-referenced cases (collectively, "Nukote" and/or the "Debtors"), file this Debtors' Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims of Former Employees (Claims Based Solely on Severance Agreements) and in support thereof would respectfully show the Court as follows:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE DEBTORS HAVE OBJECTED TO YOUR PROOF OF CLAIM. ANY PARTY IN INTEREST THAT OPPOSES THE OBJECTION TO THAT PARTY'S PROOF OF CLAIM MUST FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE SETTING FORTH THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASES FOR THE OPPOSITION. ALL RESPONSES MUST BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF SERVICE OF THIS OBJECTION AND COPIES SERVED ON COUNSEL FOR THE DEBTORS AT:

THOMAS P. BINGMAN WRIGHT GINSBERG BRUSILOW P.C. 14755 PRESTON ROAD, SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75254

ALL TIMELY FILED RESPONSES WILL BE SET FOR HEARING WITH NOTICE TO THE RESPONDING PARTY. IN THE ABSENCE OF TIMELY AND PROPER RESPONSES, THE DEBTORS WILL SUBMIT AN ORDER APPROVING THE OBJECTION AS REQUESTED. NO FURTHER NOTICE WILL BE GIVEN.

- 1. These jointly administered cases were commenced by the filing of voluntary petitions under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on June 3, 2009.
- 2. On January 4, 2010, the Court entered an Order Confirming First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization for Nukote as Modified. The Plan provides for the payment of Allowed Priority Wage Claims in Class 6 on the later of the Effective Date of the Plan (the date of closing under the Plan which will occur on or before February 17, 2010) or, if the claim is contested, the date on which the order allowing the claim becomes a Final Order (as defined in the Plan).
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this proceeding under 28 U.S.C. §1334. This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B).

Claims Objection Procedure

- 4. The Debtors have reviewed numerous claims filed in these cases and have determined that certain claims are objectionable for one or more reasons. Specifically, the Debtors have objections to a number of claims that were filed by former employees. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a table outlining one or more objections to each claim listed on the table. The claimants receiving this objection should review the table carefully along with each objection set forth below to determine which objection is applicable to their proof of claim. The purpose of this objection is to notify those entities that have filed proofs of claim in these cases that the Debtors object to those claims and to afford creditors an opportunity to respond thereto.
- 5. If you have filed a proof of claim that is the subject of this objection and you fail to respond to this objection, an order may be entered consistent with the relief requested herein.

Specific Claim Objections

- 6. The Debtors object to each of the claims listed on the attached Exhibit "A" for the reasons indicated. The explanation of the objection is detailed below. The Debtors object to each claim for one or more of the following reasons:
 - a. Claim Objection A: The Claim amount does not match the books and records of the Debtors.
 - b. Claim Objection F: The Claimant has provided insufficient documentation to support the amount reflected on the proof of claim or to allow the Debtors to determine the basis for the claim or how the amount of the claim was calculated. Without sufficient documentation, the Debtors are unable to determine the merits of the claim and therefore object to the allowance of the claim in any amount.
 - c. Claim Objection G: To the extent the Claim is based on the Severance Agreement and Release attached thereto, the Debtors' object to the Claim on the ground that this agreement was entered into without proper corporate authority and is therefore unenforceable. The employee that purported to sign the agreement on behalf of the Debtors was not an officer of the Debtors and had no authority to enter into such agreement.
 - d. Claim Objection H: To the extent the Claim is based on the Severance Agreement and Release attached thereto, Debtors object to the Claim on the grounds that the Claimant had already resigned from the Debtors' employment and was accepting an authorized continuation of employee benefits. Accordingly, the severance agreement is not enforceable and the

Debtors' object to the allowance of any portion of the Claim arising from the breach thereof.

e. Claim Objection I. To the extent the Claim is based on the Severance Agreement and Release attached thereto, Debtors object to the Claim on the grounds that the agreement is contrary to the Debtors' established policy on severance.

Alternative Resolution Procedure

7. If you would like to resolve the objection to your proof of claim without court proceedings, you may contact Kelly Kittrell at Nukote at (972) 398-7125 or by email at kkittrell@nukote.com or the undersigned counsel for the Debtors. A resolution may involve one or more of the following: 1) a reduction in the amount of your priority claim; 2) treatment of your entire claim as an unsecured claim; or 3) a discounted cash payment to you in full satisfaction of all of your claims.

Notice of Opportunity to Withdraw a False Claim

8. The Debtors believe that certain creditors filed claims that clearly had no right to assert a claim. 18 U.S.C. § 152(4) provides that a person who "knowingly and fraudulently presents any false claim for proof against the estate of a debtor...shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years or both." If you believe you have filed a false claim against the Debtors, you may withdraw it within 10 days after receipt of this objection and the Debtors will not pursue any action against you for filing a false claim.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter an order sustaining the foregoing objections and disallowing the Claim as

requested, and granting such other and further relief as this Court might deem just and proper.

Dated: February 16, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

WRIGHT GINSBERG BRUSILOW P.C.

By: /s/Frank J. Wright
Frank J. Wright
C. Ashley Ellis
Thomas P. Bingman

600 Signature Place 14755 Preston Road Dallas, TX 75254 (972) 788-1600 (972) 239-0138 - fax

and

Craig V. Gabbert, Jr.
Barbara D. Holmes
HARWELL HOWARD HYNE
GABBERT & MANNER, P.C.
315 Deaderick Street, Suite 1800
Nashville, TN 37238-1800
(615) 256-0500
(615) 251-1059 (fax)

ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEBTORS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was served on February 16, 2010 via first class mail, postage prepaid on the parties on the attached service list and electronically on all parties consenting to electronic service in this case.

/s/ Craig V. Gabbert, Jr.
Craig V. Gabbert, Jr.

	Fourth Or	mnibus Ob	jection (Claims	Based Solely	th Omnibus Objection (Claims Based Solely on Severance Agreeements) - EXHIBIT A	ents) - EXHIBIT A
Creditors' Name		Claim #	Total	Priority	Claim Objections	Proposed Allowed Amount
Altman	Stacie	476	996.15	996.15	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Bischoping	Adriane	414	2,019.21	2,019.21	A, F, G, 1	\$0.00
Branigan	Terrence	441	8,333.33	8,333.33	1,54,6	\$0.00
Bullock	Bonnie	449	36,614.76	10,950.00	A, E, G, I	\$0.00
Clayton	Rosemary	307	13,076.94	10,950.00	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Craven	James	431	432.69	432.69	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Crowley	Richard	388	1,983.19	1,983.19	J/9/3/V	\$0.00
Eigbrett	Paul	416	8,319.24	8,319.24	A,5,6,1	\$0.00
Esler	Emily	400	1,776.03	1,776.03	A,F,G,1	\$0.00
Greenwell	Mary	491	17,850.00	10,950.00	A,F,G,H, I	\$0.00
Herring	Emila	483	3,223.46	3,223.46	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Holland	Carl	355	23,293.76	10,950.00	J/9/3/V	\$0.00
Huynh	Hai	357	4,329.60	4,329.60	A,F,G, L	\$0.00
Kasperski	Robert	364	5,883.92	5,883.92	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Kribs	Lisa	407	1,634.61	1,634.61	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Lengyel	Dennis	399	18,730.95	10,950.00	A,F,G, 1	\$0.00
McCarthy	James	395	24,484.61	10,950.00	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Micket	James	397	2,831.26	2,831.26	1′9′4 ∀	\$0.00
Montmayeu Robin	Robin	365	18,509.61	10,950.00	J′9′ <u>1</u> ′Y	\$0.00
Nicholson	Gregory	569	70,594.76	10,950.00	A,F,G, ! (note 1)	\$0.00
Parafenuk	Dennis	464	15,808.52	10,950.00	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Robinson	Gwendolan	208	15,746.62	10,950.00	A,F,G,I	\$0.00
Rogers	Vicki	480	10,461.54	10,461.54	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Russell	Warren	327	12,518.71	10,950.00	A, E, G, I	\$0.00
Schnurr	Alexandra	376	3,641.49	3,641.49	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Seymour	Timothy	375	7,338.45	7,338.45	A,E,G,I	\$0.00
Skivington	George	368	33,173.13	10,950.00	A,F,G,1	\$0.00
Steepy	John	393	17,897.00	10,950.00	A,F,G, 1	\$0.00
Stevens	Leslie	494	9,323.05	9,323.05	A,F,G, I	\$0.00
Tavares	Joseph	443	8,461.52	8,461.52	A, F, G,	\$0.00

Note 1: Worker was a contract worker, not an employee, and thereore not entitled to severance.

Cialli Objections
Objection A - Claim amount does not match the records of Debtor.
Objection B - Claims fail to specify statute on which claim is based.
Objection C - No "plant closing" or "mass layoff" occurred to trigger WARN Act.
Objection D - Employment losses occurred at separate sites and did not trigger WARN Act.
Objection E - Debtor's "unexpected business loss" provides exception to WARN Act liability.
Objection F - Insufficient documentation provided with claim.
Objection G - Severance agreement unenforceable due to lack of proper corporate authority.
Objection H - Severance agreement entered into after employee resignation.
Objection I - Severance agreement contrary to Debtor's established severance policy.
Objection J - Claims are not priority claims under 507(a)(4) (e.g., bonuses, awards, unreimbursed expenses)
Objection K - Claim is duplicative of another filed proof of claim.

LIMITED NOTICE SERVICE LIST

Counsel for The Official Unsecured Creditors Committee:

Joseph Allen Kelly Robert J. Mendes Allison E. Batts 2525 West End Avenue, Suite 1475 Nashville, TN 37203 jak, rjm or aeb@mglaw.net (Electronic Service)

U.S. Trustee:

Lloyd E Mueller
United States Trustee
701 Broadway Suite 318
Nashville, TN 37203
Lloyd.E.Muller@usdoj.gov
(Electronic Service)

Secured Creditors:

Robert A. Guy, Jr.
Re: CIT Group/Business Credit, Inc.
Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis LLP
511 Union Street, Ste 2700
Nashville, TN 37219
bobby.guy@wallerlaw.com
(Electronic Service)

Kimbro Mechanical, LLC 1877 Air Lane Drive Nashville, TN 37210

Attorneys General:

William Frederick McCormick
Tennessee Attorney General's Office
Bankruptcy Division
P.O. Box 20207
Nashville, TN 37243
agbanklundin@ag.tn.gov
(Electronic Service)

Lawrence T. Palmer Office of the Attorney General 564 Forbes Avenue Manor Complex, 5th Floor Harrisburg, PA 15219 lpalmer@attorneygeneral.gov (Electronic Service)

Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711-2548

Office of the Attorney General The State Capitol Albany, NY 12224-0341

Office of the Attorney General The Capitol, Suite 118 700 Capitol Avenue Frankfort, KY 40601-3449

Office of the Attorney General California Department of Justice P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

Office of the Attorney General Carvel State Office Building 820 N. French Street Wilmington, DE 19801

Parties Requesting Notice Via U.S. Mail:

Ken Higman Sr. Default & Recovery Analyst Hewlett-Packard Company 2125 E. Katella Avenue, Suite 400 Anaheim, CA 92806

Vincent J. Roldan Re: Innotex Precision Ltd and Print Rite DLA Piper LLP (US) 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1104

417886-1

Christopher G. Campbell Re: Innotex Precision Ltd and Print Rite DLA Piper LLP 1201 West Peachtree Street, Suite 2800 Atlanta, GA 30309-3450

Janet Fitzpatrick, Legal Assistant Unisys Corporation Unisys Way P.O. Box 500, M/S E8-108 Blue Bell, PA 19424

James S. Carr & Gabrielle A. Rohwer Re: Toray Plastics (America), Inc. Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178

Kores Office Professional USA, Inc. P.O. Box 601586 Dallas, TX 75360-1586

Nukote International, Inc. Attn: Alan Lockwood 1227 Ridgeway Avenue Rochester, NY 14615

George Edward Barrett Barrett Johnston & Parsley 217 Second Avenue North Nashville, TN 37201

Dennis Lengyel 5058 Purcell Road Hemlock, NY 14466

Rogers Foam Corporation Attn Scott Lee 20 Vernon Street Somerville, MA 02145

Vincent J Roldan Re: Innotex Precision Limited DLA Piper LLP (US) 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1104 All Other Parties Requesting Notice Receive Electronic Service Through the U.S. Bankruptcy Court's CM/ECF in Accordance with the Court's Procedures

Stacie Altman	Adriane C. Bischoping	Terrence Branigan
3207 State Street	19 Pierce St.	5024 Cathann Street
Caledonia, NY 14423	Webster, NY 14580	Torrence, CA 90503
Bonnie Bullock	Rosemary Clayton	James Craven
440 Ritchie Lane	1502 Chestnut Cove	215 Oak Grove St. Apt 1309
Bardstown, KY 40004	Chapel Hill, TN 37034	Minneapolis, MN 55403
Richard Crowley	Paul Eigbrett	Emily Esler
52 Kent Drive	5118 Old West Lake Road	223 Flower City Park
Victor, NY 14564	Honeoye, NY 14471	Rochester, NY 14615
Mary Jane Greenwell	Emila Herring	Carl Holland
7750 New Haven Road	3116 Vera Valley Drive	95 Hefner Drive
New Haven, KY 40051	Franklin, TN 37064	Webster, NY 14580
Hai Huynh	Robert Kasperski	Lisa Kribs
34 Old Well Road	86 Beacon Hills Drive, South	37 Landsdale Street
Rochester, NY 14626	Penfield, NY 14526	Rochester, NY 14620
Dennis Lengyel 5058 Purcell Road Hemlock, NY 14466	James Tice McCarthy 2 Park Bluff Way Pittsford, NY 14534	James Micket 875 Friar Tuck Lane Webster, NY 14580
Robin Montmayeur	Gregory Nicholson	Dennis Parafenuk
164 Blue Aspen Way	113 Grove Lane	338 James Street
Rochester, NY 14612	Franklin, TN 37064	N. Versailles, PA 15137
Gwendolan Robinson	Vicki Rogers	Warren Russell
162 Foxridge Drive	9 Deer Track Lane	1422 Northwoods Cove
Murfreesboro, TN 37128	Brockport, NY 14420	Murfreesboro, TN 37130
Alexandra Schnurr	Timothy Seymour	George Skivington
15 Rudman Road	136 Yarmouth Road	42 Putting Green Lane
Rochester, NY 14622	Rochester, NY 14610	Penfield, NY 14526
John Steepy 4 Live Oak Court Penfield, NY 14526	Leslie Stevens 3853 Scotwood Drive Nashville, TN 37211	Joseph Tavares 3913 Sundew Court Plano, TX 75093

Case 3:09-bk-06240 Doc 526 Filed 02/16/10 Entered 02/16/10 19:16:18 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 16

Christopher Turnbow 2252 Oakleigh Drive Murfreesboro, TN 37129

Felix Vega P.O. Box 90907 Rochester, NY 14609

IN RE:)	
NUKOTE INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,)	Case No. 09 06240
)	Chapter 11
)	Judge Keith M. Lundir
)	
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered

AFFIDAVIT OF KELLY KITTRELL IN SUPPORT OF DEBTORS' FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS OF FORMER EMPLOYEES (CLAIMS BASED SOLELY ON SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS)

STATE OF TEXAS	1
	1
COUNTY OF COLLIN	ī

Kelly Kittrell, being duly sworn, states that the following is true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief:

- 1. I am the Chief Investment Officer and Assistant Secretary of Nukote, Inc. (the "Company"), a Delaware corporation. The Company's principal executive offices are located at 2400 Dallas Parkway, Suite 230, Plano, TX 75093.
- 2. I am familiar with the Company's day-to-day operations, business affairs and overall financial condition.
- 3. I submit this affidavit in support of the Debtors' Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims of Former Employees (Claims Based Solely on Severance Agreements) ("Claim Objection"). Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this affidavit are based upon my personal knowledge, my review of relevant documents, or my opinion, based upon my experience and knowledge of the

Company's operations and financial condition. If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently to the facts set forth herein. I am authorized to submit this affidavit.

- 4. The Company has made a detailed review of the claims that have been filed by former employees.
- 5. The Company has determined that many of those claims are objectionable for the reasons listed on the attached tables.
- 6. To the extent the claims are based on WARN Act statute, the Company does not believe that it had a "mass layoff" or "plant closing" as required by the statues and believes it was entitled to an exception due to the sudden loss of the Office Depot business.
- 7. To the extent the claims are based on Severance Agreements, the Company does not believe those agreements are valid as they were executed by an employee who had no authority and in contravention of the Company's stated policy.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Kelly Kittrell

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME this 16 day of February, 2010.

VIRGINIA M. JUSTICE My Commission Expires January 2, 2012 Notary Public in and for the State of Texas

IN RE:)	
)	
NUKOTE INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,)	Case No. 09-06240
)	Chapter 11
Debtors.)	Judge Keith M. Lundin
)	Jointly Administered

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS' FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS OF FORMER EMPLOYEES (CLAIMS BASED SOLELY ON SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS)

Came on for consideration before the Court, the Debtors' Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims of Former Employees (Claims Based Solely on Severance Agreements) (the "Objection") filed by Nukote International, Inc., et al., the debtors in the above-referenced cases (collectively, "Nukote" and/or the "Debtors"). The Court having considered the Objection, and having noted that none of the parties referenced below filed a timely response to the Objection, finds that the Debtors' Objection is well taken and should be GRANTED as set forth below regarding the asserted claims of the Claimants listed below.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Debtors' objection to each of the claims listed as an Exhibit "A" attached to the Motion is GRANTED and that the claim of each Claimant listed is hereby disallowed in their entirety for the reasons set forth in the Debtors' objection:

THIS ORDER WAS SIGNED AND ENTERED ELECTRONICALLY AS INDICATED AT THE TOP OF THE FIRST PAGE.

SUBMITTED FOR ENTRY BY:

WRIGHT GINSBERG BRUSILOW P.C.

By: /s/ Frank J. Wright

Frank J. Wright C. Ashley Ellis Thomas P. Bingman 600 Signature Place 14755 Preston Road Dallas, TX 75254

Telephone: 972-788-1600 Facsimile: 972-239-0138

Email: bankrtuptcy@wgblawfirm.com

HARWELL HOWARD HYNE GABBERT & MANNER, P.C.

By: /s/ Barbara D. Holmes

Craig V. Gabbert, Jr.
Barbara D. Holmes
315 Deaderick Street, Suite 1800
Nashville, TN 37238-1800
Telephone: 615-256-0500

Facsimile: 615-251-1058

Email: cvg or bdh@h3gm.com

Attorneys for Debtors